arXiv:1401.3618v16 [math.AT] 6 Mar 2015

Steenrod Coalgebras

Justin R. Smith

Department of Mathematics
Drexel University
Philadelphia, PA 19104

Abstract

This paper shows that a functorial version of the "higher diagonal" of a space used to compute *Steenrod squares* actually contains far more topological information — including (in some cases) the space's integral homotopy type.

1. Introduction

It is well-known that the Alexander-Whitney coproduct is functorial with respect to simplicial maps. If X is a simplicial set, $C(X)$ is the unnormalized chain-complex and $\mathrm{R}S_2$ is the *bar-resolution* of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ (see [12]), it is also well-known that there is a unique homotopy class of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-equivariant maps (where $\mathbb{Z}_2$ transposes the factors of the target)

$$\xi_X\colon \mathrm{R}S_2 \otimes C(X) \to C(X) \otimes C(X)$$

and that this extends the Alexander-Whitney diagonal. We will call such structures, Steenrod coalgebras and the map ξ_X the Steenrod diagonal. In his construction of cup-i products, Steenrod defined a kind of dual of this map in [24].

With some care (see appendix B), one can construct ξ_X in a manner that makes it *functorial* with respect to simplicial maps although this is seldom done since the *homotopy class* of this map is what is generally studied. Essentially, [18, 20, 21] show that $C(X)$

Email address: jsmith@drexel.edu (Justin R. Smith)
URL: http://vorpal.math.drexel.edu (Justin R. Smith)

possesses the structure of a functorial coalgebra over an operad $\mathfrak{S}$ (see example 2.9) and that the arity-2 portion of this operad-action is a functorial version of ξ_X. Throughout this paper, we will assume this *functorial* version of ξ_X.

It is natural to ask whether ξ_X encapsulates more information about a topological space than its cup-product and Steenrod squares. The present paper answers this question affirmatively for *degeneracy-free* simplicial sets. Roughly speaking, these are simplicial sets whose degeneracies do not satisfy *any relations* other than the minimal set of identities all face- and degeneracy-operators must satisfy — see definition A.4 and proposition A.5. Every simplicial set is *canonically* homotopy equivalent to a degeneracy-free one (see proposition A.6). The only place degeneracy-freeness is used in this paper is lemma 5.3.

Theorem. *5.9 Let X and Y be pointed, reduced degeneracy-free (see definition A.4 in appendix A) simplicial sets with normalized chain-complexes $N(X)$ and $N(Y)$, let $\mathscr{R} = \mathbb{Z}_p$ for some prime p or a subring of $\mathbb{Q}$, and let*

$$f\colon N(X) \otimes \mathscr{R} \to N(Y) \otimes \mathscr{R}$$

be a (purely algebraic) chain map that makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{R}S_2 \otimes N(X) \otimes \mathscr{R} & \xrightarrow{1\otimes f} & \mathrm{R}S_2 \otimes N(Y) \otimes \mathscr{R} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi_X \otimes 1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi_Y \otimes 1} \\ N(X) \otimes \mathscr{R} \otimes N(X) \otimes \mathscr{R} & \xrightarrow[f\otimes f]{} & N(Y) \otimes \mathscr{R} \otimes N(Y) \otimes \mathscr{R} \end{array} \tag{1.1}$$

commute exactly (i.e., not merely up to a chain-homotopy). Then f induces a simplicial map

$$f_\infty\colon \mathscr{R}_\infty X \to \mathscr{R}_\infty Y$$

where $\mathscr{R}_\infty$ denotes the $\mathscr{R}$-completion, (see [1] or [6] for this concept)

that makes the diagram



commute. If f is surjective, then f_∞ is a fibration, and if f is also a homology equivalence, then f_∞ is a trivial fibration.

Corollary. *If f is a surjective homology equivalence, $\mathscr{R} = \mathbb{Z}$, and X and Y are nilpotent then there exists a homotopy equivalence*

$$\bar{f}\colon |X| \to |Y|$$

of topological realizations. Corollary 5.12 states that nilpotent, degeneracy-free spaces are homotopy equivalent if and only if there exists a homology equivalence of their chain-complexes that make diagram 1.1 commute.

Here, $\tilde{\mathscr{R}}*$ is a pointed version of the $\mathscr{R}$-free simplicial abelian group functor — see definitions 4.2 and 4.5.

Because of the canonical homotopy equivalence between all simplicial sets and degeneracy-free ones, the result above implies:

Corollary. *5.13 If X and Y are pointed reduced simplicial sets and*

$$f\colon C(X) \to C(Y)$$

is a morphism of Steenrod coalgebras — over unnormalized chain-

complexes — then f induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X & & Y \\
{\scriptstyle g_X}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle g_Y} \\
\mathfrak{d}\circ\mathfrak{f}(X) & & \mathfrak{d}\circ\mathfrak{f}(Y) \\
{\scriptstyle \phi_{(\mathfrak{d}\circ\mathfrak{f}(X))}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_{(\mathfrak{d}\circ\mathfrak{f}(Y))}} \\
\mathscr{R}_\infty(\mathfrak{d}\circ\mathfrak{f}(X)) & \xrightarrow{f_\infty} & \mathscr{R}_\infty(\mathfrak{d}\circ\mathfrak{f}(Y)) \\
{\scriptstyle q_{(\mathfrak{d}\circ\mathfrak{f}(X))}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q_{(\mathfrak{d}\circ\mathfrak{f}(Y))}} \\
\tilde{\mathscr{R}}(\mathfrak{d}\circ\mathfrak{f}(X)) & \xrightarrow[\tilde{\Gamma} f]{} & \tilde{\mathscr{R}}(\mathfrak{d}\circ\mathfrak{f}(Y))
\end{array}$$

where g_X and g_Y are homotopy equivalences if X and Y are Kan complexes — and homotopy equivalences of their topological realizations otherwise. In particular, if X and Y are nilpotent, $\mathscr{R} = \mathbb{Z}$, and f is an integral homology equivalence, then the topological realizations $|X|$ and $|Y|$ are homotopy equivalent.

Here, $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{d}$ are functors defined in definition A.2 in appendix A. Singular simplicial sets are always Kan complexes.

The reader might wonder how the Steenrod diagonal can contain any information beyond the structure of a space at the prime 2. The answer is that it forms part of an operad structure that contains information about all primes — and the only part of this complex operad structure needed to compute, for instance, Steenrod p^{th} powers is the Steenrod diagonal.

For example, let X be a simplicial set with functorial higher diagonal

$$h\colon \mathrm{R}S_2 \otimes C(X) \to C(X) \otimes C(X)$$

let $\Delta = h([\,] \otimes *)\colon C(X) \to C(X) \otimes C(X)$ — the Alexander-Whitney diagonal — and let $\Delta_2 = h([(1,2]\otimes *)\colon C(X) \to C(X)\otimes C(X)$. A straightforward calculation shows that

$$(1 \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta_2\colon C(X) \to C(X)^{\otimes 3}$$

has the property that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial\{(1\otimes\Delta)\circ\Delta_2\} &= (1\otimes\Delta)\circ\partial\Delta_2\\ &= (1\otimes\Delta)\circ\{(1,2)-1\}\Delta\\ &= (1,2,3)(\Delta\otimes 1)\circ\Delta-(1\otimes\Delta)\circ\Delta\\ &= \{(1,2,3)-1\}(1\otimes\Delta)\circ\Delta \qquad (1.2)\end{aligned}$$

where $(1,2,3)$ is a cyclic permutation of the factors. It follows that Δ and Δ_2 incorporate information about X at the prime 3. Although the argument in equation 1.2 is elementary, the author is unaware of any prior instance of it.

This paper's general approach to homotopy theory is the end result of a lengthy research program involving some of the 20th century's leading mathematicians. In [15], Daniel Quillen proved that the category of simply-connected rational simplicial sets is equivalent to that of commutative coalgebras over $\mathbb{Q}$. In [25], Sullivan analyzed the algebraic and analytic properties of these coalgebras, developing the concept of minimal models and relating them to de Rham cohomology. That work was dual to Quillen's and had the advantage of being far more direct.

Since then, a major goal has been to develop a similar theory for *integral* homotopy types.

In [17], Smirnov asserted that the integral homotopy type of a space is determined by a coalgebra-structure on its singular chain-complex over an E_∞-operad. Smirnov's proof was somewhat opaque and several people known to the author even doubted the result's validity. In any case, the E_∞-operad involved was complex, being uncountably generated in all dimensions.

In [21], the author showed that the chain-complex of a space was naturally a coalgebra over an E_∞-operad $\mathfrak{S}$ and that this could be used to iterate the cobar construction. The paper [19] applied those results to show that this $\mathfrak{S}$-coalgebra determined the integral homotopy type of a simply-connected space.

In [13][1], Mandell showed that the mod-p cochain complex of a p-nilpotent space had a algebra structure over an operad that

[1] Based on Mandell's 1997 thesis.

determined the space's p-type. In [14], Mandell showed that the cochains of a nilpotent space whose homotopy groups are all *finite* have an algebra structure over an operad that determined its integral homotopy type.

The paper [18] showed that the $\mathfrak{S}$-coalgebra structure of a chain-complex had a "transcendental" structure that determines a nilpotent space's homotopy type (without the finiteness conditions of [14]). It essentially reprised the main result of [19], using a very different proof-method. The present paper shows that this transcendental structure even manifests in the sub-operad of $\mathfrak{S}$ generated by its arity-2 component, $\mathrm{R}S_2$.

I am indebted to Dennis Sullivan for several interesting discussions.

2. Definitions

Given a simplicial set, X, $C(X)$ will always denote its *unnormalized* chain-complex and $N(X)$ its *normalized* one (with degeneracies divided out).

Remark 2.1. Throughout this paper $\mathscr{R}$ denotes a fixed ring satisfying

$$\mathscr{R} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_p & \text{for some prime } p \text{ or} \\ \mathscr{R} \subset \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.2. We will denote the category of $\mathscr{R}$-free chain chain-complexes by Ch and ones that are *bounded from below* in dimension 0 by Ch_0.

We make extensive use of the Koszul Convention (see [8]) regarding signs in homological calculations:

Definition 2.3. If $f\colon C_1 \to D_1$, $g\colon C_2 \to D_2$ are maps, and $a \otimes b \in C_1 \otimes C_2$ (where a is a homogeneous element), then $(f \otimes g)(a \otimes b)$ is defined to be $(-1)^{\deg(g)\cdot\deg(a)} f(a) \otimes g(b)$.

Remark 2.4. If f_i, g_i are maps, it isn't hard to verify that the Koszul convention implies that $(f_1 \otimes g_1) \circ (f_2 \otimes g_2) = (-1)^{\deg(f_2)\cdot\deg(g_1)}(f_1 \circ f_2 \otimes g_1 \circ g_2)$.

The set of morphisms of chain-complexes is itself a chain complex:

Definition 2.5. Given chain-complexes $A, B \in \mathbf{Ch}$ define

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, B)$$

to be the chain-complex of graded $\mathscr{R}$-morphisms where the degree of an element $x \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, B)$ is its degree as a map and with differential

$$\partial f = f \circ \partial_A - (-1)^{\deg f} \partial_B \circ f$$

As a $\mathscr{R}$-module $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, B)_k = \prod_j \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_j, B_{j+k})$.

Remark. Given $A, B \in \mathbf{Ch}^{S_n}$, we can define $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_n}(A, B)$ in a corresponding way.

Recall the concept of *algebraic operad* in [11] or [10]: a sequence of $\mathbb{Z}S_n$ chain-complexes $\{\mathcal{V}(n)\}$ for $n \geq 0$ with structure maps

$$\gamma_{i_1,\ldots,i_n} \colon \mathcal{V}(n) \otimes \mathcal{V}(i_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{V}(i_n) \to \mathcal{V}(i_1 + \cdots i_n)$$

for $n, i_1, \ldots, i_n \geq 0$.

Definition 2.6. We will call the operad $\mathcal{V} = \{\mathcal{V}(n)\}$ Σ-*cofibrant* if $\mathcal{V}(n)$ is $\mathbb{Z}S_n$-projective for all $n \geq 0$.

Remark. The operads we consider here correspond to *symmetric* operads in [22].

The term "unital operad" is used in different ways by different authors. We use it in the sense of Kriz and May in [10], meaning the operad has a 0-component that acts like an arity-lowering augmentation under compositions. Here $\mathcal{V}(0) = \mathscr{R}$.

The term Σ-*cofibrant* first appeared in [3].

We also need to recall compositions in operads:

Definition 2.7. If $\mathcal{V}$ is an operad with components $\mathcal{V}(n)$ and $\mathcal{V}(m)$, define the i^{th} *composition,* with $1 \leq i \leq n$

$$\circ_i \colon \mathcal{V}(n) \otimes \mathcal{V}(m) \to \mathcal{V}(n + m - 1)$$

by

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{V}(n) \otimes \mathcal{V}(m) \\ \| \\ \mathcal{V}(n) \otimes \mathbb{Z}^{i-1} \otimes \mathcal{V}(m) \otimes \mathbb{Z}^{n-i} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1\otimes\eta^{i-1}\otimes 1\otimes\eta^{n-i}} \\ \mathcal{V}(n) \otimes \mathcal{V}(1)^{i-1} \otimes \mathcal{V}(m) \otimes \mathcal{V}(1)^{n-i} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma} \\ \mathcal{V}(n+m-1) \end{array}$$

Here $\eta\colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{V}(1)$ is the unit.

Remark. Operads were originally called *composition algebras* and defined in terms of these operations — see [5].

It is well-known that the compositions and the operad structure-maps determine each other — see definition 2.12 and proposition 2.13 of [22].

A simple example of an operad is:

Example 2.8. For each $n \geq 0$, $\mathfrak{S}_0(n) = \mathbb{Z}S_n$, with structure-map a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear extension of

$$\gamma_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n}\colon S_n \times S_{\alpha_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\alpha_n} \to S_{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_n}$$

defined by

$$\gamma_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n}(\sigma \times \theta_1 \times \cdots \times \theta_n) = \mathrm{T}_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n}(\sigma) \circ (\theta_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \theta_n)$$

with $\sigma \in S_n$ and $\theta_i \in S_{\alpha_i}$ where $\mathrm{T}_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n}(\sigma) \in S_{\sum \alpha_i}$ is a permutation that permutes the n blocks

$$\{1,\ldots,\alpha_1\}, \{\alpha_1+1, \alpha_1+\alpha_2\}, \ldots, \{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_{n-1}+1, \alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_n\}$$

via σ. See [21] for explicit formulas and computations.

Another important operad is:

Example 2.9. The operad, $\mathfrak{S}$, defined in [21] is given by $\mathfrak{S}(n) = \mathrm{R}S_n$ — the normalized *bar-resolution* of $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}S_n$. This is well-known (like the closely-related Barrett-Eccles operad defined in [2]) to be a Hopf-operad, i.e. equipped with an operad morphism

$$\delta\colon \mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S} \otimes \mathfrak{S}$$

and is important in topological applications. See [21] for formulas for the structure maps.

For the purposes of this paper, the main example of an operad is

Definition 2.10. Given any $C \in$ Ch, the associated *coendomorphism operad*, $\mathrm{CoEnd}(C)$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{CoEnd}(C)(n) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C, C^{\otimes n})$$

Its structure map

$$\gamma_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n}\colon \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C, C^{\otimes n}) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C, C^{\otimes \alpha_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C, C^{\otimes \alpha_n}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C, C^{\otimes \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n})$$

simply composes a map in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C, C^{\otimes n})$ with maps of each of the n factors of C.

This is a non-unital operad, but if $C \in$ Ch has an augmentation map $\varepsilon\colon C \to \mathscr{R}$ then we can regard ε as the generator of $\mathrm{CoEnd}(C)(0) = \mathscr{R} \cdot \varepsilon \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C, C^{\otimes 0}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C, \mathscr{R})$.

We use the coendomorphism operad to define the main object of this paper:

Definition 2.11. A *coalgebra over an operad* $\mathcal{V}$ is a chain-complex $C \in$ Ch with an operad morphism $\alpha\colon \mathcal{V} \to \mathrm{CoEnd}(C)$, called its *structure map.* We will sometimes want to define coalgebras using the *adjoint structure map,*

$$\alpha\colon C \to \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_n}(\mathcal{V}(n), C^{\otimes n}) \tag{2.1}$$

where S_n acts on $C^{\otimes n}$ by permuting factors or the set of chain-maps

$$\alpha_n \colon C \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_n}(\mathcal{V}(n), C^{\otimes n})$$

for all $n \geq 0$ or even

$$\beta_n \colon \mathcal{V}(n) \otimes C \to C^{\otimes n}$$

It is not hard to see how *compositions* (in definition 2.7) relate to coalgebras

Proposition 2.12. *If the maps $\beta_n \colon \mathcal{V}(n) \otimes C \to C^{\otimes n}$ for all $n \geq 0$ define a coalgebra over an operad $\mathcal{V}$, for any $x \in \mathcal{V}(n)$ and any $n \geq 0$ define*

$$\Delta_x = \beta_n(x \otimes *) \colon C \to C^{\otimes n}$$

If $x \in \mathcal{V}(n)$ and $y \in \mathcal{V}(m)$, then

$$\Delta_{y \circ_i x} = \underbrace{1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta_y \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes}_{i^{th} \text{ position}} \circ \Delta_x$$

Proof. Immediate, from definitions 2.7 and 2.10. □

2.1. Coalgebras over operads

Example 2.13. Coassociative coalgebras are precisely the coalgebras over $\mathfrak{S}_0$ (see 2.8).

Definition 2.14. $\mathcal{C}\mathrm{omm}$ is an operad defined to have one basis element $\{b_i\}$ for each integer $i \geq 0$. Here the arity of b_i is i and the degree is 0 and the these elements satisfy the composition-law: $\gamma(b_n \otimes b_{k_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{k_n}) = b_K$, where $K = \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i$. The differential of this operad is identically zero. The symmetric-group actions are trivial.

Example 2.15. Coassociative, commutative coalgebras are the coalgebras over $\mathcal{C}\mathrm{omm}$.

We can define a concept dual to that of a free algebra generated by a set:

Definition 2.16. Let D be a coalgebra over an operad $\mathcal{V}$, equipped with a Ch-morphism $\varepsilon\colon \lceil D\rceil \to E$, where $E \in \mathbf{Ch}$. Then D is called *the cofree coalgebra over $\mathcal{V}$ cogenerated by ε* if any morphism in Ch

$$f\colon \lceil C\rceil \to E$$

where $C \in \mathscr{S}_0$, induces a *unique* morphism in $\mathscr{S}_0$

$$\alpha_f\colon C \to D$$

that makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lceil C\rceil & \xrightarrow{\lceil \alpha_f\rceil} & \lceil D\rceil \\ & \searrow^{f} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varepsilon} \\ & & E \end{array}$$

Here α_f is called the *classifying map* of f. If $C \in \mathscr{S}_0$ then

$$\alpha_f\colon C \to L_{\mathcal{V}}\lceil C\rceil$$

will be called the *classifying map of* C.

Remark 2.17. This universal property of cofree coalgebras implies that they are *unique* up to isomorphism if they exist.

The paper [22] explicitly constructs cofree coalgebras for many operads:

- $L_{\mathcal{V}}C$ is the *general* cofree coalgebra over the operad $\mathcal{V}$ — here, C, is a chain-complex that is not necessarily concentrated in nonnegative dimensions. Then [22] constructs $D = L_{\mathcal{V}}E$ as the maximal submodule of

  $$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_n}(\mathcal{V}(n), E^{\otimes n})$$

  on which the dual of the structure-maps of $\mathcal{V}$ define a coalgebra-structure: let $\iota\colon D \to \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_n}(\mathcal{V}(n), E^{\otimes n})$ be the inclusion of chain-complexes. In the notation of

definition 2.16, an $\mathcal{V}$-coalgebra, C, is defined by its *structure map* (see equation 2.1)

$$s\colon C \to \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_n}(\mathcal{V}(n), C^{\otimes n})$$

and its *classifying map* $\alpha_f\colon D \to L_{\mathcal{V}}C$ is the coalgebra morphism defined by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\ s\ } & \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_n}(\mathcal{V}(n), C^{\otimes n}) \\ {\scriptstyle \alpha_f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_n}(1, f^{\otimes n})} \\ D & \xrightarrow[\ \iota\ ]{} & \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_n}(\mathcal{V}(n), E^{\otimes n}) \end{array} \tag{2.2}$$

An inductive argument shows that this is the *unique* coalgebra morphism compatible with f.

In all cases, definition 2.16 implies the existence of an adjunction

$$\lceil * \rceil\colon \mathbf{Ch}_0 \leftrightarrows\colon L_{\mathcal{V}} * \tag{2.3}$$

where $\lceil * \rceil\colon \mathscr{S} \to \mathbf{Ch}_0$ is the *forgetful functor* from coalgebras to chain-complexes.

3. Steenrod coalgebras

We begin with:

Definition 3.1. A *Steenrod coalgebra,* (C, δ) is a chain-complex $C \in \mathrm{Ch}$ equipped with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-equivariant chain-map

$$\delta\colon \mathrm{R}S_2 \otimes C \to C \otimes C$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts on $C \otimes C$ by swapping factors and $\mathrm{R}S_2$ is the bar-resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}S_2$. A morphism $f\colon (C, \delta_C) \to (D, \delta_D)$ is a chain-map $f\colon C \to D$ that makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\ f\ } & D \\ {\scriptstyle \delta_C}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_D} \\ C \otimes C & \xrightarrow[\ f \otimes f\ ]{} & D \otimes D \end{array}$$

commute.

Steenrod coalgebras are very general — the underlying coalgebra need not even be coassociative. The category of Steenrod coalgebras is denoted $\mathscr{S}$.

Definition 3.2. Let, $\mathcal{F}$, denote the *free operad* generated by $\mathrm{R}S_2$.

Remark. See sections 5.2 and 5.5 of [11] or section 5.8 of [3] for an explicit construction of $\mathcal{F}$. For instance

$$\mathcal{F}(3) = \mathrm{R}S_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}S_2} \left(\underbrace{\mathbb{Z}S_3 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}S_2} \mathrm{R}S_2}_{S_2 \text{ generated by } (1,2)} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}S_3 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}S_2} \mathrm{R}S_2}_{S_2 \text{ generated by } (2,3)} \right)$$

where $S_2 = \mathbb{Z}_2$ swaps the summands and $\mathbb{Z}S_3$ acts on $\mathcal{F}(3)$ by acting on the factors $\mathbb{Z}S_3$ inside the parentheses.

Proposition 3.3. *The identity map of* $\mathrm{R}S_2$ *uniquely extends to an operad-morphism*

$$\xi \colon \mathcal{F} \to \mathfrak{S}$$

and the kernel is an operadic ideal (see section 5.2.16 of [11]) denoted $\mathscr{K}$*.*

Remark. The image, $\xi(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathfrak{S}$, is the suboperad generated by $\mathfrak{S}(2) = \mathrm{R}S_2$.

Proof. All statements follow immediately from the defining property of free operads. □

Although the construction of $\mathcal{F}$ is fairly complex, it is easy to describe *coalgebras* over $\mathcal{F}$:

Proposition 3.4. *The category of coalgebras over* $\mathcal{F}$ *is identical to that of Steenrod coalgebras.*

Proof. If C is an $\mathcal{F}$-coalgebra then there exists a $\mathbb{Z}S_2$-morphism

$$\mathcal{F}(2) \otimes C = \mathrm{R}S_2 \otimes C \to C \otimes C$$

so C is a Steenrod coalgebra. If C is a Steenrod coalgebra, it has an adjoint structure map

$$\mathrm{R}S_2 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C, C \otimes C) = \mathrm{CoEnd}(C)(2)$$

that *uniquely* extends to an operad-morphism

$$\mathcal{F} \to \mathrm{CoEnd}(C)$$

It is also clear that this correspondence respects morphisms. □

This has a number of interesting consequences:

Theorem 3.5. *If C is a chain-complex, there exists a universal Steenrod coalgebra $L_{\mathcal{F}}C$ — the cofree coalgebra over $\mathcal{F}$ cogenerated by C — equipped with a chain-map*

$$\varepsilon\colon L_{\mathcal{F}}C \to C$$

with the property that, given any Steenrod coalgebra D and any chain-map $f\colon D \to C$, there exists a unique morphism of Steenrod coalgebras

$$\bar{f}\colon D \to L_{\mathcal{F}}C$$

that makes the diagram



commute.

Proof. The conclusions are nothing but the defining properties of a cofree coalgebra over $\mathcal{F}$. So the result follows immediately from proposition 3.4. □

4. The Dold-Kan functor and variants

Recall remark 2.1 regarding the ring $\mathscr{R}$. We recount classic results regarding simplicial abelian groups:

Definition 4.1. Let sAB denote the category of *simplicial abelian groups* and $\mathbf{sAB}_0 \subset \mathbf{sAB}$ the full subcategory of $\mathbb{Z}$-free pointed, reduced simplicial abelian groups. If $A \in \mathbf{sAB}$, let

1. $\{A\}$ denote the *Moore complex* of A — a (not necessarily $\mathbb{Z}$-free chain complex made up of the simplices of A). Since A is a simplicial abelian group, every linear combination of simplices of A is *also* a simplex of A and the *elements* of $\{A\}$ are the *simplices* of A.
2. $NA \subset \{A\}$ denote the *normalized* chain-complex of A defined by
$$NA_n = \bigcap_{i=0}^{n-1} \ker d_i \subset A_n$$
where $d_i \colon A_n \to A_{n-1}$ are the face-operators. The boundary is defined by $\partial_n = (-1)^n d_n \colon NA_n \to NA_{n-1}$.

The *Dold-Kan functor* from the category of arbitrary chain complexes (not necessarily $\mathbb{Z}$-free) to **sAB** is denoted Γ.

Remark. It is well-known (see [6, chapter III]) that
$$\pi_i(A) = H_i(\{A\})$$
for $i \geq 0$.

Given a simplicial set, we can construct a simplicial abelian group from it:

Definition 4.2. If X is a simplicial set and $\mathscr{R}$ is a ring following the conditions in remark 2.1, $\mathscr{R}X$ denotes the $\mathscr{R}$-free simplicial abelian group generated by X. The *Hurewicz map*
$$h_X \colon X \to \mathscr{R}X$$
sends a simplex $x \in X$ to $1 \cdot x \in \mathscr{R}X$.

Remark. It is well-known (see [1], chapter I, § 2), that
$$\pi_i(\mathscr{R}X) \cong H_i(X, \mathscr{R})$$
and that the Hurewicz map induces
$$\pi_i(h_X) \colon \pi_i(X) \to \pi_i(\mathscr{R}X) = H_i(X, \mathscr{R})$$
— the Hurewicz homomorphism from homotopy groups to homology groups (hence the name "Hurewicz map").

We have the classic Dold-Kan results (see [9] and [6, chapter III], corollary 2.3, theorem 2.5, and corollary 2.12.):

Proposition 4.3. *For any chain-complex, C, concentrated in non-negative dimensions*

$$N\Gamma C \cong C$$

and for any simplicial abelian group A

$$\Gamma N A \cong A$$

These correspondences define an equivalence of categories between the category of (not necessarily $\mathbb{Z}$-free) chain-complexes concentrated in nonnegative dimensions and simplicial abelian groups.

In addition, there is an adjunction

$$N(*)\colon \mathbf{Ch}_0 \leftrightarrows \mathbf{S}\colon \Gamma * \tag{4.1}$$

where $N(*)$ is the (normalized) integral chain-complex functor (see [6, chapter III]). The functor $\Gamma *$ is defined by

$$\Gamma(C)_m = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{m}\to\mathbf{n}} C_n \tag{4.2}$$

for all $m > n \geq 0$, where $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{n}$ are objects of the ordinal number category $\mathbf{\Delta}$.

Remark. Equation 4.2 simply says that one forms all possible "formal" degeneracies of C and defines face and degeneracy operators to via the defining identities that they satisfy.

We define a *pointed* variant:

Definition 4.4. If $C \in \mathbf{Ch}_0$, then $C = C^+ \oplus \mathbb{Z}_0$, where $\mathbb{Z}_0$ is concentrated in dimension 0 and equal to $\mathbb{Z}$ there. We define

$$\tilde{\Gamma} C = \Gamma C / \Gamma \mathbb{Z}_0 \cong \Gamma C^+$$

— where / denotes a quotient-group.

Remark. Since $C = C^+ \oplus \mathbb{Z}_0$, the equivalence of categories in proposition4.3 implies that

$$\Gamma C = \tilde{\Gamma} C \times \Gamma \mathbb{Z}_0$$

If $C \in \mathbf{Ch}_+$, note that $\tilde{\Gamma} C = \Gamma C$, since $\{\Gamma C\}_0 = 0$ — the *trivial* abelian group.

The reason we need a pointed variant is that the Bousfield-Kan cosimplicial resolution (see [1]) of a space requires it to be pointed.

We also have the free abelian group functor (denoted $FA*$ in [9]):

Definition 4.5. If $X \in \mathbf{S}$ and $\mathscr{R}$ is a ring satisfying remark 2.1, $\mathscr{R}X$ is the *$\mathscr{R}$-free simplicial abelian group* generated by the simplices of X. If $X \in \mathbf{S}_0$ — i.e., if X is pointed and reduced — then we have the Bousefield-Kan *pointed* version of the free abelian group functor (see [1]), the quotient

$$\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X = \mathscr{R}X/\mathscr{R}*$$

where $*$ is the sub-simplicial set generated by the basepoint of X.

Proposition 4.6. *If X is a simplicial set and $N(X)$ is the normalized integral chain-complex of X then*

$$\mathscr{R}X = \Gamma(N(X) \otimes \mathscr{R})$$

If X is pointed and reduced then

$$\mathscr{R}X = \tilde{\mathscr{R}}X \times \mathscr{R}* = \tilde{\Gamma}\left(N(X) \otimes \mathscr{R}\right) \times \Gamma\mathscr{R}_0$$

If X and Y are pointed reduced simplicial sets and

$$f\colon N(X) \otimes \mathscr{R} \to N(Y) \otimes \mathscr{R}$$

is a chain-map of normalized chain-complexes, then f induces

$$\tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{n-1}\tilde{\Gamma} f\colon \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{n-1}\tilde{\Gamma}\left(N(X) \otimes \mathscr{R}\right) = \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^n X \to \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{n-1}\tilde{\Gamma}\left(N(Y) \otimes \mathscr{R}\right) = \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^n Y$$

for all $n > 0$.

Proof. This follows immediately from the Dold-Kan results in proposition 4.3. □

5. The Main result

We begin with a definition:

Definition 5.1. Let S denote the category of *simplicial sets* and S_0 that of *pointed, reduced* simplicial sets.

By following the procedure in appendix B, we get:

Proposition 5.2. *If $X \in \mathrm{S}$ is a simplicial set, then the unnormalized chain complex of X, $C(X)$ has a natural Steenrod coalgebra structure and there exists a functor*

$$C(*)\colon \mathbf{S} \to \mathscr{S}$$

from the category of simplicial sets to that of Steenrod coalgebras concentrated in nonnegative dimensions. This projects to a Steenrod coalgebra structure on the normalized chain-complex, $N(X)$.

Proof. See appendix B and proposition B.4 for the details. □

Recall the concept of *degeneracy-free* simplicial sets in definition A.4 in appendix A. The main (only?) reason we are interested in them is:

Lemma 5.3. *If X is a degeneracy-free simplicial set, its nondegenerate simplices form a delta-complex, $\bar{X}$, and there is a natural inclusion*

$$\bar{X} \to \mathfrak{f}(X)$$

inducing an inclusion of Steenrod coalgebras

$$\iota\colon N(X) = N(\bar{X}) \to N(\mathfrak{f}(X)) = C(X)$$

Remark. See definition A.2 in appendix A for the functor $\mathfrak{f}$.

Although *all* simplicial sets have an inclusion of *chain-complexes*

$$N(X) \to C(X)$$

the Steenrod coalgebra structure of $N(X)$ is defined as a *quotient* of that of $C(X)$ by the degenerate simplices. It follows that this inclusion of chain-complexes does not necessarily imply one of Steenrod coalgebras.

Proof. This follows from proposition A.3. □

We also define

Definition 5.4. If X is a pointed, reduced simplicial set with unnormalized chain-complex $C(X)$ and $\mathscr{R}$ is a ring satisfying remark 2.1, then

$$C(X) \otimes \mathscr{R} = \{\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X\}$$

— the Moore complex of $\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X$ — and we can define a chain map

$$\gamma_X \colon C(\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X) \otimes \mathscr{R} \to C(X) \otimes \mathscr{R}$$

by $\mathscr{R}$-linear extension. This chain-map induces a morphism of Steenrod coalgebras:

$$F_X \colon C(\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X) \otimes \mathscr{R} \to L_{\mathcal{F}}(C(X) \otimes \mathscr{R})$$

(via the adjunction in equation 2.3 — also see diagram 2.2) where $L_{\mathcal{F}}(C(X) \otimes \mathscr{R})$ is the cofree coalgebra constructed in [22].

Proposition 5.5. *If X is a pointed, reduced simplicial set with normalized chain-complex $N(X)$, $\mathscr{R}$ is a ring satisfying remark 2.1, and*

$$h_X \colon X \to \tilde{\mathscr{R}}X$$

is the Hurewicz map, (see definition 4.2) then the composite chain map

$$C(X) \otimes \mathscr{R} \xrightarrow{C(h_X)} C(\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X) \otimes \mathscr{R} \xrightarrow{\gamma_X} C(X) \otimes \mathscr{R}$$

is the identity map of $C(X) \otimes \mathscr{R}$, where γ_X is defined in definition 5.4.

Proof. Just verify this on each simplex: if $x \in X$, then $h_X(x) = 1 \cdot x \in \tilde{\mathscr{R}}X$ and $f(1 \cdot x) = 1 \cdot x = x \in N(X) \otimes \mathscr{R}$. □

Corollary 5.6. *If X is a pointed, reduced degeneracy-free simplicial set, with normalized chain-complex $N(X)$, $\mathscr{R}$ is a ring satisfying remark 2.1, then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N(X) \otimes \mathscr{R} & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle N(h_X)\otimes 1} & \searrow^{\alpha_X} & \\ C(\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X) \otimes \mathscr{R} & \xrightarrow[F_X]{} & L_{\mathcal{F}}(C(X) \otimes \mathscr{R}) \end{array}$$

commutes, where

1. $h_X\colon X \to \tilde{\mathscr{R}}X$ *is the Hurewicz map (see definition 4.2),*
2. $\alpha_X\colon N(X)\otimes\mathscr{R} \to L_{\mathcal{F}}(C(X)\otimes\mathscr{R})$ *is the unique morphism of Steenrod coalgebras induced by the chain-map*

$$\iota\otimes 1\colon N(X)\otimes\mathscr{R} \to C(X)\otimes\mathscr{R}$$

where ι is defined in lemma 5.3,
3. $F_X\colon C(\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X)\otimes\mathscr{R} \to L_{\mathcal{F}}(C(X)\otimes\mathscr{R})$ *is the unique morphism of Steenrod coalgebras induced by γ_X in definition 5.4.*

Proof. Since $h_X\colon X \to \tilde{\mathscr{R}}X$ is *simplicial,* $N(h_X)\otimes 1$ is a morphism of Steenrod coalgebras. Proposition 5.5 implies that the morphisms α_X and $F_X \circ (N(h_X)\otimes 1)$ are both induced by $\iota\otimes 1$. Since induced maps to cofree coalgebras are *unique,* the triangle must commute (see theorem 3.5). □

One of the main results in this paper is:

Theorem 5.7 (Injectivity Theorem)**.** *Under the hypotheses of definition 5.4, the map*

$$F_X\colon C(\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X)\otimes\mathscr{R} \to L_{\mathcal{F}}(C(X)\otimes\mathscr{R})$$

is injective.

Remark. This is essentially the only place we need lemma 5.3, which is the only reason we are interested in degeneracy-free simplicial sets.

The commutative diagram in corollary 5.6 and this result imply that

$$N(h_X)\otimes 1 = F_X^{-1}\circ\alpha_X\colon N(X)\otimes\mathscr{R} \to C(\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X)\otimes\mathscr{R}$$

so that the geometrically-relevant Hurewicz map is *uniquely determined* by the Steenrod coalgebra structure of $N(X)\otimes\mathscr{R}$.

Proof. See appendix C. □

Proposition 5.8. *If X and Y are pointed reduced degeneracy-free simplicial sets, $\mathscr{R}$ is a ring satisfying remark 2.1, and*

$$f\colon N(X)\otimes\mathscr{R} \to N(Y)\otimes\mathscr{R}$$

is a morphism of Steenrod coalgebras, then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N(X)\otimes\mathscr{R} & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & N(Y)\otimes\mathscr{R} \\ {\scriptstyle N(h_X)\otimes 1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle N(h_Y)\otimes 1} \\ N(\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X)\otimes\mathscr{R} & \xrightarrow[N(\tilde{\Gamma}f)\otimes 1]{} & N(\tilde{\mathscr{R}}Y)\otimes\mathscr{R} \end{array}$$

commutes, where $\tilde{\Gamma}f\colon\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X\to\tilde{\mathscr{R}}Y$ *is defined in proposition 4.6.*

Remark. The map $\tilde{\Gamma}f\colon\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X\to\tilde{\mathscr{R}}Y$ is the main reason we are interested in degeneracy-free simplicial sets:

> We would *like* a map $\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X\to\tilde{\mathscr{R}}Y$ to exist with the property that the induced map of *Moore complexes*
>
> $$\{\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X\}\to\{\tilde{\mathscr{R}}Y\}$$
>
> *coincides* with a given chain map $f\colon C(X)\otimes\mathscr{R}\to C(Y)\otimes\mathscr{R}$ of *unnormalized chain-complexes.* Unfortunately we cannot guarantee this unless we start with a chain-map of *normalized* chain-complexes and apply the $\tilde{\Gamma}$-functor to it (see 4.6). Hence the need for normalized chain-complexes and degeneracy-free simplicial sets.

These two data-points (i.e., the chain-complex and the chain-map induced by the Hurewicz map) suffice to define $\mathscr{R}^\bullet X$ — the cosimplicial space used to construct Bousfield and Kan's $\mathscr{R}$-completion, $\mathscr{R}_\infty X$ (see [1]).

Proof. The fact that $\tilde{\Gamma}f$ (see definition 4.6) maps each simplex of $\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X$ (i.e., generator of $N(\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X)$) via f implies that the diagram of *chain-maps*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N(\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X)\otimes\mathscr{R} & \xrightarrow{C(\tilde{\Gamma}f)\otimes 1} & N(\tilde{\mathscr{R}}Y)\otimes\mathscr{R} \\ {\scriptstyle \gamma_X}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_Y} \\ N(X)\otimes\mathscr{R} & \xrightarrow[f]{} & N(Y)\otimes\mathscr{R} \end{array}$$

commutes, where γ_X and γ_Y are given in definition 5.4. The uniqueness of induced maps to cofree coalgebras (see definition 2.16 and

theorem 3.5) and the fact that the target, $L_{\mathcal{F}}(C(Y)\otimes\mathscr{R})$, is *cofree* implies that the induced diagram of Steenrod coalgebras

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X)\otimes\mathscr{R} & \xrightarrow{C(\tilde{\Gamma}f)\otimes 1} & C(\tilde{\mathscr{R}}Y)\otimes\mathscr{R} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_Y} \\ L_{\mathcal{F}}(C(X)\otimes\mathscr{R}) & \xrightarrow[L_{\mathcal{F}}f]{} & L_{\mathcal{F}}(C(Y)\otimes\mathscr{R}) \end{array}$$

commutes. The conclusion follows from the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & N(\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X)\otimes\mathscr{R} & \xrightarrow{N(\tilde{\Gamma}f)\otimes 1} & N(\tilde{\mathscr{R}}Y)\otimes\mathscr{R} \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & C(\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X)\otimes\mathscr{R} & \xrightarrow{C(\tilde{\Gamma}f)\otimes 1} & C(\tilde{\mathscr{R}}Y)\otimes\mathscr{R} \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_Y} & \nwarrow \\ {\scriptstyle N(h_X)\otimes 1} & & L_{\mathcal{F}}(C(X)\otimes\mathscr{R}) & \xrightarrow[L_{\mathcal{F}}\{\tilde{\Gamma}f\}\otimes 1]{} & L_{\mathcal{F}}(C(Y)\otimes\mathscr{R}) & & {\scriptstyle N(h_Y)\otimes 1} \\ & \nwarrow & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_X} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \alpha_Y} & \nearrow \\ & & N(X)\otimes\mathscr{R} & \xrightarrow[f]{} & N(Y)\otimes\mathscr{R} & \end{array}$$

where $\alpha_X\colon N(X)\otimes\mathscr{R}\to L_{\mathcal{F}}(C(X)\otimes\mathscr{R})$ and $\alpha_Y\colon N(Y)\otimes\mathscr{R}\to L_{\mathcal{F}}(C(Y)\otimes\mathscr{R})$ are induced by the inclusions of Steenrod coalgebras

$$\iota_X\colon N(X)\to C(X)$$
$$\iota_Y\colon N(Y)\to C(Y)$$

respectively (compare corollary 5.9). □

Our main topological result is

Theorem 5.9. *Let X and Y be pointed, reduced degeneracy-free simplicial sets with normalized chain-complexes $N(X)$ and $N(Y)$, respectively, with their functorial Steenrod diagonals. If $\mathscr{R}$ is a ring satisfying remark 2.1 and*

$$f\colon N(X)\otimes\mathscr{R}\to N(Y)\otimes\mathscr{R}$$

is a morphism of Steenrod coalgebras, then f *induces*

$$f_\infty : \mathscr{R}_\infty X \to \mathscr{R}_\infty Y$$

of $\mathscr{R}$*-completions that makes the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & & Y \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_Y} \\ \mathscr{R}_\infty X & \xrightarrow{f_\infty} & \mathscr{R}_\infty Y \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle q_X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q_Y} \\ \tilde{\mathscr{R}} X & \xrightarrow[\tilde{f}]{} & \tilde{\mathscr{R}} Y \end{array} \tag{5.1}$$

commute. Here

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_X : X &\to \mathscr{R}_\infty X \\ \phi_Y : Y &\to \mathscr{R}_\infty Y \end{aligned}$$

are the canonical maps (see 4.2 in [1, chapter I]) and q_X *and* q_Y *are maps to the 0-coskeleta.*

Remark. The vertical composites are just the respective *Hurewicz maps.*

Proof. Proposition 4.6 implies that the chain-map, f induces morphisms of simplicial abelian groups

$$\tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{i-1}\tilde{\Gamma} f : \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^i X \to \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^i Y \tag{5.2}$$

for all $i > 0$. The fact that f preserves Steenrod diagonals and proposition 5.8 implies that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N(X) \otimes \mathscr{R} & \xrightarrow{f} & N(Y) \otimes \mathscr{R} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle N(h_X)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle N(h_Y)\otimes 1} \\ N(\tilde{\mathscr{R}} X) \otimes \mathscr{R} & \xrightarrow[N(\{\tilde{\Gamma} f\})]{} & N(\tilde{\mathscr{R}} Y) \otimes \mathscr{R} \end{array} \tag{5.3}$$

commutes, where h_X and h_Y are Hurewicz maps. If we take $\tilde{\Gamma}*$ of this diagram (5.3), proposition 4.3 implies that we get a commutative diagram of simplicial abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathscr{R}}X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Gamma}f} & \tilde{\mathscr{R}}Y \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\mathscr{R}}h_X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\mathscr{R}}h_Y} \\ \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^2X & \xrightarrow[\tilde{\mathscr{R}}\tilde{\Gamma}f]{} & \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^2Y \end{array} \tag{5.4}$$

Now recall the cosimplicial resolutions $\mathscr{R}^\bullet X$ and $\mathscr{R}^\bullet Y$ defined in example 4.1 of [6, chapter VII, section 4]. They have levels

$$(\mathscr{R}^\bullet X)^n = \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{n+1}X$$

$n \geq 0$, with coface maps

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^i_X &= \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^i h \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{n-i+1} : \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{n+1}X \to \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{n+2}X \\ \delta^i_Y &= \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^i h \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{n-i+1} : \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{n+1}Y \to \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{n+2}Y \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 0, \ldots n+1$, where $h : * \to \tilde{\mathscr{R}}*$ is the Hurewicz map of the space to its right. In addition, they have codegeneracy maps

$$\begin{aligned} s^i_X &= \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^i \gamma \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{n-i} : \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{n+2}X \to \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{n+1}X \\ s^i_Y &= \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^i \gamma \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{n-i} : \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{n+2}Y \to \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{n+1}Y \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 0, \ldots, n$, where $\gamma(\alpha \cdot \beta) = \alpha\beta$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathscr{R}$. If $0 \leq i < n+1$ the diagram]^{F_{Y}}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{n-i+1}X & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{n-i}\tilde{\Gamma}f} & \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{n-i+1}Y \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_Y} \\ \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{n-i+2}X & \xrightarrow[\tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{n-i+1}\tilde{\Gamma}f]{} & \tilde{\mathscr{R}}^{n-i+2}Y \end{array}$$

commutes by the naturality of Hurewicz maps. Composing this with $\tilde{\mathscr{R}}^i$ shows that the maps $\tilde{\mathscr{R}}^n\tilde{\Gamma}f$ preserve cofaces δ^i_* for $i < n+1$. The *only* coface that uses the topology of X and Y — beyond their bare chain-complexes — is (remarkably!) δ^{n+1}_*. Applying $\tilde{\mathscr{R}}^n$ to

diagram 5.4 implies that this is *also* preserved. It follows that the maps in equation 5.2 commute with all cofaces and codegeneracies so that they define a morphism of cosimplicial spaces

$$\mathscr{R}^\bullet f\colon \mathscr{R}^\bullet X \to \mathscr{R}^\bullet Y$$

that induces a morphism f_∞ of total spaces that makes diagram 5.1 commute. □

Proposition 5.10. *Under the hypotheses of corollary 5.9, if f is surjective, $\mathscr{R}_\infty f$ is a fibration. If f is a surjective homology equivalence, then $\mathscr{R}_\infty f$ is a trivial fibration.*

Proof. All of the coface maps except for the 0^{th} in $\mathbb{Z}^\bullet X$ are morphisms of simplicial abelian groups. It follows that $\mathscr{R}^\bullet X$ is "group-like" in the sense of section 4 in chapter X of [1]. The conclusion follows from proposition 4.9 section 4 in chapter X of [1].

If f is also a homology equivalence, then $\mathscr{R}^\bullet f\colon \mathscr{R}^\bullet X \to \mathscr{R}^\bullet Y$ is a pointwise trivial fibration. The final statement follows from theorem 4.13 in chapter VIII of [1], and the fact that $\Delta^\bullet$ is cofibrant in coS. □

If $\mathscr{R} = \mathbb{Z}$ and spaces are *nilpotent*, we can say a bit more:

Corollary 5.11. *Under the hypotheses of corollary 5.9, if Y is also nilpotent and a Kan complex then $\phi_Y\colon Y \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty Y$ is a weak equivalence with a homotopy-inverse, $\phi'\colon \mathbb{Z}_\infty Y \to Y$, that fits into a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & & Y \\ {\scriptstyle \phi_X}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \phi_Y}\downarrow \;\curvearrowright\; {\scriptstyle \phi'} \\ \mathbb{Z}_\infty X & \xrightarrow[\mathbb{Z}_\infty f]{} & \mathbb{Z}_\infty Y \end{array} \tag{5.5}$$

where

1. *$\phi_Y\colon Y \to \mathbb{Z}_\infty X$ is a weak equivalence*
2. *a morphism of cellular coalgebras, $f\colon C(X) \to C(Y)$, induces a map of simplicial sets*

$$X \xrightarrow{\phi_X} \mathbb{Z}_\infty X \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}_\infty f} \mathbb{Z}Y \xrightarrow{\phi'} Y$$

If Y is not a Kan complex, then the diagram that results from applying the topological realization functor, $|*|$, to all terms of diagram 5.5, commutes.

Remark. For instance, singular simplicial sets are always Kan complexes.

Proof. The main statement (that ϕ_Y is a weak equivalence) follows from proposition 3.5 in chapter V of [1]. □

Our final result is:

Corollary 5.12. *If X and Y are pointed, reduced, nilpotent degeneracy-free simplicial sets that are Kan complexes, with normalized chain-complexes $N(X)$ and $N(Y)$, respectively, then X and Y are homotopy equivalent if and only if there exists a morphism of Steenrod coalgebras*

$$f\colon N(X) \to N(Y)$$

inducing isomorphisms in homology over $\mathbb{Z}$. If X and Y are not Kan complexes, the corresponding statement holds for their topological realizations.

Proof. Any homotopy equivalence $g\colon X \to Y$ induces a map like f in the statement. Conversely, given f as above, we get

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & & Y \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_Y} \\ \mathbb{Z}_\infty X & \xrightarrow{f_\infty} & \mathbb{Z}_\infty Y \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle q_X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q_Y} \\ \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X & \xrightarrow[\tilde{\Gamma} f]{} & \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}Y \end{array} \tag{5.6}$$

where ϕ_X and ϕ_Y are weak equivalences and the map at the bottom is a weak equivalence. Since ϕ_X and ϕ_Y are weak equivalences, it follows that the maps q_X and q_Y are homotopic to the Hurewicz maps of $\mathbb{Z}_\infty X$ and $\mathbb{Z}_\infty Y$, respectively. Since f is a weak equivalence, it follows that f_∞ induces isomorphisms in homology (recall that

$\pi_n(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X) \cong H_n(X) = H_n(\mathbb{Z}_\infty X)$ for all $n \geq 0$, and that a corresponding statement holds for Y). Whitehead's theorem implies the existence of a homotopy inverse for ϕ_Y and

$$\phi_Y^{-1} \circ f_\infty \circ \phi_X \colon X \to Y$$

is a homotopy equivalence. □

Since arbitrary simplicial sets are homotopy equivalent to degeneracy-free ones, we also get

Corollary 5.13. *If X and Y are pointed reduced simplicial sets, $\mathscr{R}$ is a ring satisfying remark 2.1, then any morphism of Steenrod coalgebras (over unnormalized chain-complexes)*

$$f \colon C(X) \otimes \mathscr{R} \to C(Y) \otimes \mathscr{R}$$

induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X & & Y \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle g_X} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle g_Y} \\
\mathfrak{d} \circ \mathfrak{f}(X) & & \mathfrak{d} \circ \mathfrak{f}(Y) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_{(\mathfrak{d}\circ\mathfrak{f}(X))}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi_{(\mathfrak{d}\circ\mathfrak{f}(Y))}} \\
\mathscr{R}_\infty(\mathfrak{d} \circ \mathfrak{f}(X)) & \xrightarrow{f_\infty} & \mathscr{R}_\infty(\mathfrak{d} \circ \mathfrak{f}(Y)) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle q_{(\mathfrak{d}\circ\mathfrak{f}(X))}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q_{(\mathfrak{d}\circ\mathfrak{f}(Y))}} \\
\tilde{\mathscr{R}}(\mathfrak{d} \circ \mathfrak{f}(X)) & \xrightarrow[\tilde{\Gamma} f]{} & \tilde{\mathscr{R}}(\mathfrak{d} \circ \mathfrak{f}(Y))
\end{array}$$

where;

1. *the functors $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{d}$ are defined in definition A.2*
2. *the maps g_X and g_Y are defined in equation A.3 and are homotopy equivalences, by proposition A.6.*

In particular, if X and Y are nilpotent, $\mathscr{R} = \mathbb{Z}$, and f is a homology equivalence, then the topological realizations, $|X|$ and $|Y|$, are homotopy equivalent.

Proof. This follows immediately from corollary 5.9 and proposition A.3, which implies that $N(\mathfrak{d} \circ \mathfrak{f}(X)) = C(X)$. □

A. Simplicial sets and Delta-complexes

We recount results of Rourke and Sanderson (see[16]) involving variations on the concept of simplicial set.

Definition A.1. Let $\mathbf{\Delta}_+$ be the ordinal number category whose morphisms are order-preserving monomorphisms between them. The objects of $\mathbf{\Delta}_+$ are elements $\mathbf{n} = \{0 \to 1 \to \cdots \to n\}$ and a morphism

$$\theta\colon \mathbf{m} \to \mathbf{n}$$

is a strict order-preserving map ($i < k \implies \theta(i) < \theta(j)$). Then the category of *delta-complexes,* **D**, has objects that are contravariant functors

$$\mathbf{\Delta}_+ \to \mathbf{Set}$$

to the category of sets. The chain complex of a delta-complex, X, will be denoted $N(X)$.

Remark. In other words, delta-complexes are just simplicial sets *without degeneracy-operators.* The topological realization of a delta-complex is the result of gluing together simplices via the face-maps.

A simplicial set gives rise to a delta-complex by "forgetting" its degeneracies — "promoting" its degenerate simplices to nondegenerate status. The topological realization of the resulting delta-complex is vastly "larger" than that of the original simplicial set.

Conversely, a delta-complex can be converted into a simplicial set by equipping it with degenerate simplices in a mechanical fashion. These operations define functors:

Definition A.2. The functor

$$\mathfrak{f}\colon \mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{D}$$

is defined to simply drop degeneracy operators (degenerate simplices become nondegenerate) while retaining the face-operators. The functor

$$\mathfrak{d}\colon \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{S}$$

equips a delta complex, X, with degenerate simplicies and operators via

$$\mathfrak{d}(X)_m = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{m}\twoheadrightarrow\mathbf{n}} X_n \tag{A.1}$$

for all $m > n \geq 0$.

Remark. The functors $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{d}$ were denoted F and G, respectively, in [16]. Equation A.1 simply states that we add all possible degeneracies of simplices in X subject *only* to the basic identities that face- and degeneracy-operators must satisfy.

Although $\mathfrak{f}$ promotes degenerate simplicies to nondegenerate ones, Rourke and Sanderson's paper, [16], shows that these new nondegenerate simplices can be collapsed without changing the homotopy type of the complex: although the degeneracy operators are no longer built in to the delta-complex, they still define contracting homotopies.

The definition immediately implies that

Proposition A.3. *If X is a simplicial set and Y is a delta-complex, $C(X) = N(\mathfrak{f}(X))$, $N(\mathfrak{d}(Y)) = N(Y)$, and $C(X) = N(\mathfrak{d} \circ \mathfrak{f}(X))$.*

Definition A.4. A simplicial set, X, is defined to be *degeneracy-free* if

$$X = \mathfrak{d}(Y)$$

for some delta-complex, Y.

Remark. Compare definition 1.10 in chapter VII of [6][2]). In a manner of speaking, X is freely generated by the degeneracy operators acting on a basis consisting of the simplices of Y. Lemma 1.2 in chapter VII of [6] describes other properties of degeneracy-free simplicial sets (hence of the functor $\mathfrak{d}$).

In [16], Rourke and Sanderson also showed that one could give a "somewhat more intrinsic" definition of degeneracy-freeness:

[2]Their definition has a typo, stating that $\mathbf{\Delta}_+$ consists of *surjections* rather than *injections*.

Proposition A.5. *If X is a simplicial set, let $\mathrm{Core}(X)$ consist of the nondegenerate simplices and their faces. This is a delta-complex and there exists a canonical map*

$$c\colon \mathfrak{d}(\mathrm{Core}(X)) \to X$$

sending simplices of $\mathrm{Core}(X)$ to themselves in X and degeneracies to suitable degeneracies of them. Then X is degeneracy-free if and only if c is an isomorphism.

Theorem 1.7 of [16] shows that there exists an adjunction:

$$\mathfrak{d}\colon \mathbf{D} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{S}\colon \mathfrak{f} \tag{A.2}$$

The composite (the *counit* of the adjunction)

$$\mathfrak{f} \circ \mathfrak{d}\colon \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{D}$$

maps a delta complex into a much larger one — that has an infinite number of (degenerate) simplices added to it. There is a natural inclusion

$$\iota\colon X \to \mathfrak{f} \circ \mathfrak{d}(X)$$

and a natural map (the *unit* of the adjunction)

$$g\colon \mathfrak{d} \circ \mathfrak{f}(X) \to X \tag{A.3}$$

The functor g sends degenerate simplices of X that had been "promoted to nondegenerate status" by $\mathfrak{f}$ to their degenerate originals — and the extra degenerates added by $\mathfrak{d}$ to suitable degeneracies of the simplices of X.

Rourke and Sanderson also prove:

Proposition A.6. *If X is a simplicial set and Y is a delta-complex then*

1. *$|Y|$ and $|\mathfrak{d}Y|$ are homeomorphic*
2. *the map $|g|\colon |\mathfrak{d} \circ \mathfrak{f}(X)| \to |X|$ is a homotopy equivalence.*
3. *$\mathfrak{f}\colon H\mathbf{S} \to H\mathbf{D}$ defines an equivalence of categories, where $H\mathbf{S}$ and $H\mathbf{D}$ are the homotopy categories, respectively, of $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{D}$. The inverse is $\mathfrak{d}\colon H\mathbf{D} \to H\mathbf{S}$. In particular, if X is a Kan complex, the natural map*

   $$g\colon \mathfrak{d} \circ \mathfrak{f}(X) \to X$$

   is a homotopy equivalence.

Remark. Here, $|*|$ denotes the topological realization functors for S and D.

Proof. The first two statements are proposition 2.1 of [16] and statement 3 is theorem 6.9 of the same paper. The final statement follows from Whitehead's theorem and the fact that Kan complexes are fibrant in the Quillen model structure of simplicial sets (see [6]). □

B. Functorial Steenrod diagonals

In this section, we construct a functorial Steenrod coalgebra structure described in proposition 5.2. Also see [7] for an alternative functorial form of Steenrod coalgebra.

The Steenrod diagonal was orginally developed by Steenrod in [24], and that paper's result, 12.4, is a dual to our main result (proposition B.5). That paper's emphsis was completely different from that of the present paper and it didn't use the concept of simplicial sets. This appendix develops the Steenrod diagonal in a way that is clearly functorial and uses modern notation.

We begin with a contracting cochain on the normalized chain-complex of a standard simplex:

Definition B.1. Let Δ^k be a standard k-simplex with vertices $\{[0],\dots,[k]\}$ and j-faces $\{[i_0,\dots,i_j]\}$ with $i_0 < \cdots < i_j$ and let s^k denote its normalized chain-complex with boundary map ∂. This is equipped with an augmentation

$$\epsilon\colon s^k \to \mathbb{Z}$$

that maps all vertices to $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all other simplices to 0. Let

$$\iota_k\colon \mathbb{Z} \to s^k$$

denote the map sending $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ to the image of the vertex $[k]$. Then we have a contracting cochain

$$\varphi_k([i_0,\dots,i_t] = \begin{cases} (-1)^{t+1}[i_0,\dots,i_t,k] & \text{if } i_t \neq k \\ 0 & \text{if } i_t = k \end{cases} \tag{B.1}$$

and $1 - \iota_k \circ \epsilon = \partial \circ \varphi_k + \varphi_k \circ \partial$.

Theorem B.2. *The unnormalized chain-complex, U^k, of $[i_0,\dots,i_k] = \Delta^k$ has a Steenrod coalgebra structure*

$$\xi\colon \mathrm{R}S_2 \otimes U^k \to U^k \otimes U^k$$

that is natural with respect to order-preserving mappings of vertex-sets

$$[i_0,\dots,i_k] \to [j_0,\dots,j_\ell]$$

with $j_0 \le \cdots \le j_\ell$ and $\ell \ge k$. If $c \in U^k$ is degenerate then one of the two factors in each term of $h(*\otimes c)$ is degenerate so that h induces a well-defined Steenrod coalgebra structure on the normalized chain-complex of Δ^k, denoted $\mathcal{N}^k$.

Remark. On the author's web site (under the Research link), there is a Python program for computing $\xi(x \otimes C(\Delta^k))$. As in [7], the number of terms grows exponentially with k and the dimension of $x \in \mathrm{R}S_2$.

Proof. If $C = s^k = C(\Delta^k)$ — the *normalized* chain complex — we can define a corresponding contracting homotopy on $C \otimes C$ via

$$\Phi = \varphi_k \otimes 1 + \iota_k \circ \epsilon \otimes \varphi_k$$

where φ_k, ι_k, and ϵ are as in definition B.1. Above dimension 0, Φ is effectively equal to $\varphi_k \otimes 1$. Now set $M_2 = C \otimes C$ and $N_2 = \mathrm{im}(\Phi)$. In dimension 0, we define f_2 for all n via:

$$\xi(A \otimes [0]) = \begin{cases} [0]\otimes[0] & \text{if } A = [\,] \\ 0 & \text{if } \dim A > 0 \end{cases}$$

This clearly makes s^0 a Steenrod coalgebra.

Suppose that the ξ are defined below dimension k. Then the Steenrod coalgebra structure of $C(\partial\Delta^k)$ is well-defined and satisfies the conclusions of this theorem. We define $\xi(a[a_1|\dots|a_j]\otimes[0,\dots,k])$ by induction on j,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(A \otimes s^k) &= \Phi \circ \xi(\partial A \otimes s^k) \\ &+ (-1)^{\dim A}\Phi \circ \xi(A \otimes \partial s^k) \end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

where $A \in A(\mathbb{Z}_2, 1) \subset \mathrm{R}S_n$ and the term $\xi(A \otimes \partial s^k)$ refers to the Steenrod coalgebra structure of $C(\partial\Delta^k)$.

The terms $\xi(A \otimes \partial s^k)$ and $\xi(\partial A \otimes s^k)$ are defined by induction on the dimension of A and we ultimately get an expression for $\xi(x \otimes [0, \ldots, k])$ as a sum of tensor-products of sub-simplices of $[0, \ldots, k]$ — given as ordered lists of vertices.

We claim that this Steenrod coalgebra structure is natural with respect to ordered mappings of vertices. This follows from the fact that the only significant property that the vertex k *has* in equations B.1 and B.2 is that it is the *highest numbered* vertex.

The final statement (regarding degenerate simplices) follows from three facts:

1. It is true for the *Alexander-Whitney* coproduct (the starting point of our induction),
2. The boundary of a degenerate simplex is a linear combination of degenerate simplices, and
3. Φ of a term with a degenerate factor has a degenerate factor.

□

Here is an example of some higher coproducts:

Example B.3. If $[0, 1, 2] = \Delta^2$ is a 2-simplex, then

$$\xi([\,] \otimes \Delta^2) = \Delta^2 \otimes F_0F_1\Delta^2 + F_2\Delta^2 \otimes F_0\Delta^2 + F_1F_2\Delta^2 \otimes \Delta^2 \tag{B.3}$$

— the standard (Alexander-Whitney) coproduct — and

$$\begin{aligned}\xi([(1,2)] \otimes \Delta^2) =& [0,1,2] \otimes [1,2] - [0,2] \otimes [0,1,2] \\ & - [0,1,2] \otimes [0,1]\end{aligned}$$

or, in face-operations

$$\begin{aligned}\xi([(1,2)] \otimes \Delta^2) =& \Delta^2 \otimes F_0\Delta^2 - F_1\Delta^2 \otimes \Delta^2 \\ & - \Delta^2 \otimes F_2\Delta^2\end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

Proof. If we write $\Delta^2 = [0, 1, 2]$, we get

$$\xi([\,] \otimes \Delta^2) = [0,1,2] \otimes [2] + [0,1] \otimes [1,2] + [0] \otimes [0,1,2]$$

To compute $\xi([(1,2)]_2 \otimes \Delta^2)$ we have a version of equation B.2:

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(e_1 \otimes \Delta^2) &= \Phi_2(\xi(\partial e_1 \otimes \Delta^2) - \Phi_2\xi(e_1 \otimes \partial\Delta^2) \\ &= -\Phi_2(\xi((1,2)\cdot[\,] \otimes \Delta^2) + \Phi_2(\xi([\,] \otimes \Delta^2) - \Phi_2\xi(e_1 \otimes \partial\Delta^2)\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_2(1,2)\cdot(\xi([\,] \otimes \Delta^2) =&(\varphi_2 \otimes 1)\big([2] \otimes [0,1,2] - [1,2] \otimes [0,1] \\ &+ [0,1,2] \otimes [0]\big) \\ &+ (i \circ \epsilon \otimes \varphi_2)\big([2] \otimes [0,1,2] \\ &- [1,2] \otimes [0,1] + [0,1,2] \otimes [0]\big) \\ =&0\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_2\xi([\,] \otimes \Delta^2) =&(\varphi_2 \otimes 1)\big([0,1,2] \otimes [2] + [0,1] \otimes [1,2] \\ &+ [0] \otimes [0,1,2]\big) \\ =&[0,1,2] \otimes [1,2] - [0,2] \otimes [0,1,2]\end{aligned}$$

In addition, proposition B.5 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(e_1 \otimes \partial\Delta^2) =&[1,2] \otimes [1,2] - [0,2] \otimes [0,2] \\ &+ [0,1] \otimes [0,1]\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\Phi_2\xi(e_1 \otimes \partial\Delta^2) = [0,1,2] \otimes [0,1]$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}f_2([(1,2)] \otimes \Delta^2) =&[0,1,2] \otimes [1,2] - [0,2] \otimes [0,1,2] \\ &- [0,1,2] \otimes [0,1]\end{aligned}$$

which implies equation B.4. □

We can extend the Steenrod coalgebra structure on simplices to one on degenerate simplices by regarding

$$D_i\Delta^n = D_i[0,\ldots,n] = [0,\ldots,i,i,\ldots n]$$

and plugging these vertices into the formulas for the higher coproducts. For instance, example B.3 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\xi([(1,2)]\otimes D_0[0,1]) =&[0,0,1]\otimes[0,1]-[0,1]\otimes[0,0,1]\\ &-[0,0,1]\otimes[0,0]\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}\xi([(1,2)]\otimes D_0\Delta^1) =&D_0\Delta^1\otimes\Delta^1-\Delta^1\otimes D_0\Delta^1\\ &-D_0\Delta^1\otimes D_0F_1\Delta^1\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\xi([(1,2)]\otimes D_1[0,1]) =&[0,1,1]\otimes[1,1]-[0,1]\otimes[0,1,1]\\ &-[0,1,1]\otimes[1,1]\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}\xi([(1,2)]\otimes D_1\Delta^1) =&D_1\Delta^1\otimes D_0F_0\Delta^1-\Delta^1\otimes D_1\Delta^1\\ &-D_1\Delta^1\otimes D_0F_0\Delta^1\end{aligned}$$

It follows that:

Proposition B.4. If X is a simplicial set, we can define a natural Steenrod coalgebra structure on the unnormalized chain-complex of X:

$$C(X)=\varinjlim\mathcal{N}^k=\varinjlim C(\Delta^k)$$

for $\Delta^n\in\mathbf{\Delta}\downarrow X$ — the simplex category of X with Steenrod diagonal

$$\xi\colon C(X)\to C(X)\otimes C(X)$$

This induces a natural Steenrod coalgebra structure on the normalized chain-complex

$$\xi\colon N(X)\to N(X)\otimes N(X)$$

Proof. Theorem B.3 of [23] implies that this colimit of Steenrod coalgebras (i.e., coalgebras over $\mathcal{F}$) has a chain-complex that is the chain-complex of the colimit of *chain-complexes* — i.e. the unnormalized chain complex of X.

The second statement follows from the fact that ξ of a degenerate simplex has a degenerate factor in $C(X)\otimes C(X)$. □

We conclude this section with a calculation that is crucial to this paper:

Proposition B.5. *Let X be a simplicial set with $C = C(X)$ and with Steenrod coalgebra structure*

$$\xi\colon \mathrm{R}S_2 \otimes C(X) \to C(X) \otimes C(X)$$

and suppose $\mathrm{R}S_2$ is generated in dimension n by $e_n = \underbrace{[(1,2)|\cdots|(1,2)]}_{n \text{ terms}}$.

If $x \in C$ is the image of a k-simplex, then

$$\xi(e_k \otimes x) = \eta_k \cdot x \otimes x$$

where $\eta_k = (-1)^{k(k-1)/2}$.

Remark. This is just a chain-level statement that the Steenrod operation Sq^0 acts trivially on mod-2 cohomology. Compare this to 12.4 in [24]. A weaker form of this result appeared in [4].

It proves that Steenrod coalgebras of the form $C(X)$, for a simplicial set X are *not nilpotent:* iterated coproducts of *simplices* never "peter out". This turns out to provide a way to "recognize" simplices among the elements of $C(X)$.

Proof. Recall that $(\mathrm{R}S_2)_n = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$ generated by $e_n = \underbrace{[(1,2)|\cdots|(1,2)]}_{n \text{ factors}}$. Let T be the generator of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ — acting on $C \otimes C$ by swapping the copies of C.

We assume that $\xi(e_i \otimes C(\Delta^j)) \subset C(\Delta^j) \otimes C(\Delta^j)$ so that

$$i > j \implies \xi(e_i \otimes C(\Delta^j)) = 0 \tag{B.5}$$

We extend this to $C \otimes C$ via

$$\Phi_k = \varphi_k \otimes 1 + \iota_k \circ \epsilon \otimes \varphi_k$$

and use the Koszul convention on signs. Note that $\Phi_k^2 = 0$. As in section 4 of [21], if $e_0 \in \mathrm{R}S_2$ is the 0-dimensional generator, we define

$$\xi\colon \mathrm{R}S_2 \otimes C \to C \otimes C$$

inductively by

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(e_0 \otimes [i]) &= [i] \otimes [i] \\ \xi(e_0 \otimes [0,\ldots,k]) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k} [0,\ldots,i] \otimes [i,\ldots,k] \end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

Let $\sigma = \Delta^k$ and inductively define

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(e_k \otimes \sigma) &= \Phi_k(\xi(\partial e_k \otimes \sigma) + (-1)^k \Phi_k \xi(e_k \otimes \partial\sigma) \\ &= \Phi_k(\xi(\partial e_k \otimes \sigma) \end{aligned}$$

because of equation B.5.

Expanding Φ_k, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(e_k \otimes \sigma) &= (\varphi_k \otimes 1)(\xi(\partial e_k \otimes \sigma)) + (i \circ \epsilon \otimes \varphi_k)\xi(\partial e_k \otimes \sigma) \\ &= (\varphi_k \otimes 1)(\xi(\partial e_k \otimes \sigma)) \end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

because $\varphi_k^2 = 0$ and $\varphi_k \circ i \circ \epsilon = 0$.

Noting that $\partial e_k = (1 + (-1)^k T)e_{k-1} \in \mathrm{R}S_2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(e_k \otimes \sigma) &= (\varphi_k \otimes 1)(\xi(e_{k-1} \otimes \sigma) + (-1)^k (\varphi_k \otimes 1) \cdot T \cdot \xi(e_{k-1} \otimes \sigma) \\ &= (-1)^k (\varphi_k \otimes 1) \cdot T \cdot \xi(e_{k-1} \otimes \sigma) \end{aligned}$$

again, because $\varphi_k^2 = 0$ and $\varphi_k \circ \iota_k \circ \epsilon = 0$. We continue, using equation B.7 to compute $\xi(e_{k-1} \otimes \sigma)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(e_k \otimes \sigma) =& (-1)^k (\varphi_k \otimes 1) \cdot T \cdot \xi(e_{k-1} \otimes \sigma) \\ =& (-1)^k (\varphi_k \otimes 1) \cdot T \cdot (\varphi_k \otimes 1) \Big(\xi(\partial e_{k-1} \otimes \sigma) \\ & + (-1)^{k-1} \xi(e_{k-1} \otimes \partial\sigma) \Big) \\ =& (-1)^k \varphi_k \otimes \varphi_k \cdot T \cdot \Big(\xi(\partial e_{k-1} \otimes \sigma) \\ & + (-1)^{k-1} \xi(e_{k-1} \otimes \partial\sigma) \Big) \end{aligned}$$

If $k - 1 = 0$, then the left term vanishes. If $k - 1 = 1$ so ∂e_{k-1} is 0-dimensional then equation B.6 gives $f(\partial e_1 \otimes \sigma)$ and this vanishes

when plugged into $\varphi\otimes\varphi$. If $k-1>1$, then $\xi(\partial e_{k-1}\otimes\sigma)$ is in the image of φ_k, so it vanishes when plugged into $\varphi_k\otimes\varphi_k$.

In *all* cases, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(e_k\otimes\sigma) &= (-1)^k\varphi_k\otimes\varphi_k\cdot T\cdot(-1)^{k-1}\xi(e_{k-1}\otimes\partial\sigma)\\ &= -\varphi_k\otimes\varphi_k\cdot T\cdot\xi(e_{k-1}\otimes\partial\sigma)\end{aligned}$$

If $\xi(e_{k-1}\otimes\Delta^{k-1})=\eta_{k-1}\Delta^{k-1}\otimes\Delta^{k-1}$ (the inductive hypothesis), then

$$\xi(e_{k-1}\otimes\partial\sigma)= \sum_{i=0}^{k}\epsilon_{k-1}\cdot(-1)^i[0,\dots,i-1,i+1,\dots k]\otimes[0,\dots,i-1,i+1,\dots k]$$

and the only term that does *not* get annihilated by $\varphi_k\otimes\varphi_k$ is

$$(-1)^k[0,\dots,k-1]\otimes[0,\dots,k-1]$$

(see equation B.1). We get

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(e_k\otimes\sigma) &= \eta_{k-1}\cdot\varphi_k\otimes\varphi_k\cdot T\cdot(-1)^{k-1}[0,\dots,k-1]\otimes[0,\dots,k-1]\\ &= \eta_{k-1}\cdot\varphi_k\otimes\varphi_k(-1)^{(k-1)^2+k-1}[0,\dots,k-1]\otimes[0,\dots,k-1]\\ &= \eta_{k-1}\cdot(-1)^{(k-1)^2+2(k-1)}\varphi[0,\dots,k-1]\otimes\varphi[0,\dots,k-1]\\ &= \eta_{k-1}\cdot(-1)^{k-1}[0,\dots,k]\otimes[0,\dots,k]\\ &= \eta_k\cdot[0,\dots,k]\otimes[0,\dots,k]\end{aligned}$$

where the sign-changes are due to the Koszul Convention. We conclude that $\eta_k=(-1)^{k-1}\eta_{k-1}$. □

C. Proof of 5.7

If $\mathscr{R}$ is a ring satisfying remark 2.1, let $\mathbb{F}$ denote its field of fractions (either $\mathbb{Z}_p$ or $\mathbb{Q}$).

We begin with a general result:

Lemma C.1. *Let C be a free $\mathscr{R}$-module and let*

$$\hat{C}=\mathscr{R}\oplus\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}C^{\otimes i}$$

— where tensor-products are over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $e\colon C \to \hat{C}$ be the function that sends $c \in C$ to

$$(1, c, c \otimes c, c \otimes c \otimes c, \dots) \in \hat{C}$$

For any integer $t > 1$ and any set $\{c_1, \dots, c_t\} \in C$ of distinct, nonzero elements, the elements

$$\{e(c_1), \dots, e(c_t)\} \in \hat{C} \otimes \mathbb{F}$$

are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}$. It follows that e defines an injective function

$$\bar{e}\colon \mathscr{R}[C] \to \hat{C}$$

where $\mathscr{R}[C]$ is the group-ring of C (in which C is regarded only as an abelian group).

Proof. We will construct a vector-space morphism

$$f\colon D = \hat{C} \otimes \mathbb{F} \to V \tag{C.1}$$

in several stages, with the property that the images, $\{f(e(c_i))\}$, are linearly independent.

Note that $\mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}$ so that $\mathbb{F} \otimes C^{\otimes i} = (\mathbb{F} \otimes C)^{\otimes i}$ for all i. We begin with the "truncation morphism"

$$r_t\colon D \to \mathbb{F} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t-1} \mathbb{F} \otimes C^{\otimes i} = \mathbb{F} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t-1} (\mathbb{F} \otimes C)^{\otimes i} = D_{t-1}$$

which maps $C^{\otimes 1} \otimes \mathbb{F}$ isomorphically. Now we include D_{t-1} in the tensor algebra $T(C \otimes \mathbb{F})$ and project that onto the symmetric algebra $S(C \otimes \mathbb{F})$:

$$g\colon D_{t-1} \hookrightarrow T(C \otimes \mathbb{F}) \twoheadrightarrow S(C \otimes \mathbb{F}) = \mathbb{F}[b_1, b_2, \dots]$$

where the set, $\{b_i\}$, is an $\mathscr{R}$-basis for C, and the target on the right is a polynomial ring with the b_i as *indeterminates.* If $c \in C \otimes \mathbb{F} \subset D_{t-1}$, and $f = g \circ r_t$, then $f(c)$ is a linear combination of the b_i. If $c_1, \dots, c_j \in C$ with $j \leq t$, then $c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_j \in C^{\otimes j} \subset D_{t-1}$ and

$$g(c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_j) = g(c_1) \cdots g(c_j) \in \mathbb{F}[b_1, b_2, \dots]$$

It is not hard to see that

$$p_i = f(e(c_i)) = 1 + f(c_i) + \cdots + f(c_i)^{t-1} \in \mathbb{F}[b_1, b_2, \dots]$$

for $i = 1, \dots, t$. Since the $f(c_i)$ are *linear* in the indeterminates b_i, the degree-j component (in the indeterminates) of $f(e(c_i))$ is precisely $f(c_i)^j$. It follows that a linear dependence-relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} \alpha_i \cdot p_i = 0$$

with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}$, holds if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} \alpha_i \cdot f(c_i)^j = 0$$

for all $j = 0, \dots, t-1$. This is equivalent to $\det M = 0$, where

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ f(c_1) & f(c_2) & \cdots & f(c_t) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f(c_1)^{t-1} & f(c_2)^{t-1} & \cdots & f(c_t)^{t-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since M is the transpose of the Vandermonde matrix, we get

$$\det M = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le t} (f(c_i) - f(c_j))$$

Since $f|C \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F} \subset \hat{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}$ is *injective*, it follows that this *only* vanishes if there exist i and j with $i \neq j$ and $c_i = c_j$. The second conclusion follows. □

This leads to the proof:

Corollary C.2. *If X is a pointed, reduced degeneracy-free simplicial set, then then the morphism of Steenrod coalgebras*

$$F_X \colon C(\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X) \otimes \mathscr{R} \to L_{\mathcal{F}}\,(C(X) \otimes \mathscr{R})$$

in definition 5.4 is injective.

Proof. If $E = C(\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X)\otimes\mathscr{R}$ and $C = C(X)\otimes\mathscr{R}$, the results of [22] imply that

$$L_{\mathcal{F}}E \subset E \oplus \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_n}(\mathcal{F}(n), E^{\otimes n})$$

and the map γ_X in definition 5.4 induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C(\tilde{\mathscr{R}}X) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & L_{\mathcal{F}}E & \longrightarrow & \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_n}(\mathcal{F}(n),E^{\otimes n}) \\ & \searrow^{F_X} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle L_{\mathcal{F}}\gamma_X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_n}(1,\gamma_X^{\otimes n})} \\ & & L_{\mathcal{F}}C & \longrightarrow & \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_n}(\mathcal{F}(n),C^{\otimes n}) \end{array} \tag{C.2}$$

where we follow the convention that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_0}(\mathcal{F}(0),E^0) = \mathscr{R}$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_1}(\mathcal{F}(1),E) = E$. Here

1. α is induced by the identity map of E (regarded as a chain-complex),
2. γ_X and F_X are defined in definition 5.4.

Let p_n be projection to a factor

$$p_n\colon \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_n}(\mathcal{F}(n),E^{\otimes n}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_n}(\mathcal{F}(n),E^{\otimes n})$$

If $\sigma \in$ is an m-simplex defining an element $[\sigma]\in E_m$, proposition B.5 implies that

$$p_2\circ\alpha([\sigma]) = \xi_m\cdot(e_m\mapsto[\sigma]\otimes[\sigma]) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_2}(\mathrm{R}S_2, E\otimes E)$$

where $\xi_m = (-1)^{m(m-1)/2}$ (see proposition B.5) and $\mathcal{F}(2) = \mathrm{R}S_2$.

Let $Z_2 = e_m$ and $Z_k = \underbrace{e_m\circ_1\cdots\circ_1 e_m}_{k-1 \text{ iterations}} \in \mathcal{F}(k)$ be the operad-composite (see definition 2.7 and proposition 2.17 of [21]). The fact that operad-composites map to composites of *coproducts* (see proposition 2.12) in a coalgebra implies that

$$p_k\circ\alpha([\sigma]) = \xi_m^{k-1}\cdot(Z_k\mapsto\underbrace{[\sigma]\otimes\cdots\otimes[\sigma]}_{k \text{ factors}}) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_k}(\mathcal{F}(k),E^{\otimes k})$$

If $\{\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_t\} \in \tilde{\mathscr{R}}X$ are *distinct* m-simplices then $\{\gamma_X[\sigma_1],\dots\gamma_X[\sigma_t]\} \in C = C(X)\otimes\mathscr{R}$ are *also* distinct (although no longer *generators*).

Their images in $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_n}(\mathcal{F}(n), C^{\otimes n})$ will have the property that

$$p_k \circ F_X([\sigma_i]) = \xi_m^{k-1} \cdot (Z_k \mapsto \underbrace{\gamma_X[\sigma_i] \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_X[\sigma_i]}_{k \text{ factors}}) \\ \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_k}(\mathcal{F}(k), C(X)^{\otimes k})$$

Evaluation of elements of $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_n}(\mathcal{F}(n), C^{\otimes n})$ on the sequence $(\xi_m \cdot Z_2, \xi_m^2 \cdot Z_3, \xi_m^3 \cdot Z_4, \dots)$ gives a homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules

$$j\colon \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}S_n}(\mathcal{F}(n), C^{\otimes n}) \to \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} C^{\otimes n}$$

and $j \circ \gamma_X(\sigma_i)$ is $e(\gamma_X[\sigma_i])$, as defined in lemma C.1. The conclusion follows from lemma C.1. □

D. References

References

[1] A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan, *Homotopy limits, completions, and localizations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 304, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.

[2] M. Barratt and P. Eccles, *On Γ_+-structures. I. A free group functor for stable homotopy theory*, Topology (1974), 25–45.

[3] Clemens Berger and Ieke Moerdijk, *Axiomatic homotopy theory for operads*, Comment. Math. Helv. **78** (2003), no. 4, 681–721.

[4] James F. Davis, *Higher diagonal approximations and skeletons of $K(\pi, 1)$'s*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1126, Springer-Verlag, 1983, pp. 51–61.

[5] G. Gerstenhaber, *The cohomology structure of an associative ring*, Annals of Math. **78** (1962), no. 2, 268–288.

[6] Paul G. Goerss and John F. Jardine, *Simplicial Homotopy Theory*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 174, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1999.

[7] Rocío González-Díaz and Pedro Real, *A combinatorial method for computing Steenrod squares*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **139** (1999), 89–108.

[8] V. K. A. M. Gugenheim, *On a theorem of E. H. Brown*, Illinois J. of Math. **4** (1960), 292–311.

[9] Daniel M. Kan, *Functors involving C.S.S. complexes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1958), 330–346.

[10] I. Kriz and J. P. May, *Operads, algebras, modules and motives*, Astérisque, vol. 233, Société Mathématique de France, 1995.

[11] Jean-Louis Loday and Bruno Vallette, *Algebraic Operads*, Available online http://math.unice.fr/~brunov/Operads.pdf.

[12] S. MacLane, *Homology*, Springer-Verlag, 1995.

[13] M. Mandell, *E_∞ algebras and p-adic homotopy theory*, Topology **40** (2001), no. 1, 43–94.

[14] Michael Mandell, *Cochains and homotopy type*, Publications Mathématiques de l'Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques **103** (2007), no. 1, 213–246.

[15] D. Quillen, *Rational homotopy theory*, Ann. of Math. (2) **90** (1969), 205–295.

[16] C. P. Rourke and B. J. Sanderson, *Δ-sets. I. Homotopy theory*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford **22** (1971), 321–338.

[17] V. A. Smirnov, *Homotopy theory of coalgebras*, Izv. Mat. Nauk SSR Ser. Mat. **49** (1985), no. 6, 575–592.

[18] Justin R. Smith, *Cellular coalgebras over the operad $\mathfrak{S}$ I.*, arXiv:1304.6328 [math.AT].

[19] ———, *m-structures determine integral homotopy type*, arXiv designation `math.AT/9809151`.

[20] ———, *$\mathfrak{S}$-coalgebras determine fundamental groups*, arXiv:1307.7098 [math.AT].

[21] ———, *Iterating the cobar construction*, vol. 109, Memoirs of the A. M. S., no. 524, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, May 1994.

[22] ———, *Cofree coalgebras over operads*, Topology and its Applications **133** (2003), 105–138.

[23] ———, *Model-categories of coalgebras over operads*, Theory and Applications of Categories **25** (2011), 189–246.

[24] Norman Steenrod, *Products of cocycles and extensions of mappings*, Ann. Math. **48** (1947), 290–320.

[25] Dennis Sullivan, *Infinitesimal calculations in topology*, Publications Mathématiques d'Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, vol. 47, 1977, pp. 269–331.